

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/956,994	09/21/2001	Mutsumi Kimura	110423	2948
25944	7590 01/25/2005		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			LEWIS, DAVID LEE	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
,			2673	
		DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·,				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/956,994	KIMURA, MUTSUMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David L Lewis	2673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 December 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.	•					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
222 and attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	stom ryphoduon (F 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 09/956,994

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. (5349366) in view of Yamazaki (6545656).
- 2. As in claim 1, 9, 10, 12, 15, and 16, Yamazaki et al. teaches of a driving method for an electro-optical device which includes, corresponding to an intersection of a scanning line, figure 1A item Vg, and a data line, figure 1A item Vd, an electro optical element, figure 1A item LC, a driving transistor that drives the electro-optical element, figure 1A item Tr2, and a switching transistor that controls the driving transistor, figure 1A item Tr1, the driving method comprising: a setting step of supplying a first on-signal to switching transistor via the scanning line, figure 1B item Vg (t0), and of supplying a set signal to select a conducting state or non-conducting state of the driving transistor to the driving transistor via the date line and the switching transistor in accordance with a period for which the first on-signal is supplied, figure 1B item Vd (t0); and a

Art Unit: 2673

resetting step of supplying a second on-signal to the switching transistor via the scanning line, **figure 1B item Vg (t2)**, and of supplying a reset signal to select the non-conducting state of the driving transistor to the driving transistor via the data line and the switching transistor in accordance with a period for which the second on-signal is supplied, **figure 1B item Vd (t2)**.

As amended, as in claims 1, 10, and 11, Yamazaki et al. fails to explicitly 3. teach of a period of supplying the reset signal via the data line within a vertical scanning period being substantially constant. Yamazaki (656) teaches of a liquid crystal display having a plurality of pixel TFT's which are matrix disposed wherein reset is performed during a subframe of the frame period, column 4 lines 8-60. Further, Yamazaki (656) teaches of a period of supplying the reset signal via the data line within a vertical scanning period (frame) being substantially constant, figure 3 items 2nd Tsf and 4th Tsf. Said subframe feature of Yamazaki (656) is applicable to the display method of Yamazaki (366) given both teach methods of solving the problem of resetting a display. The drive method of Yamazaki as shown in figure 1A and 1B can be applied to the sumframe equivalent as taught by Yamazaki (656). Said known modification would provide for a period of supplying the reset signal via the data line with a vertical scanning period being substantially constant in view of Yamazaki's (366) figures 5-6, wherein a plurality of resets are performed within one frame period, as found in claims 1, 10, and 11. As amended, as in claim 12 Yamazaki (366) fails to

explicitly teach of the number of the signal to perform the setting step and the signal to perform the resetting step being substantially the same. Said feature would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in view of Yamazaki (656) as argued above given figure 3, wherein Yamazaki (656) teaches of the number of the signal to perform the setting step and the signal to perform the resetting step being substantially the same within a frame period. **As amended, as in claims 15 and 16**, Yamazaki (656) teaches of a plurality of the pairs of the setting step and the resetting step being performed within one frame period, figure 3 items 1st to 4th Tsf, wherein the number of subframes would be an obvious design choice to the skilled artisan.

Page 4

4. As in claims 2 and 3, Yamazaki et al. teaches of, further including a horizontal scanning period that includes a first sub horizontal scanning period to perform the setting step, figure 1B item Vd (t0-t0.5), and a second sub horizontal scanning period to perform the resetting step, figure 1B item Vd (t0.5-t1). As in claim 7, Yamazaki et al. teaches of, further including providing the set signal to be a signal for setting the conducting state for the driving transistor rather than the signal for selecting the conducting state or the non-conducting state of the driving transistor, figure 1B item Vg (t1-t2). As in claim 8, 13, and 14, Yamazaki et al. teaches of, further including driving the electro-optical element including an organic electroluminescence element, figure 1A item LC. As in claim 4, 5, and 6, Yamazaki et al. teaches of wherein, further including obtaining

Application/Control Number: 09/956,994 Page 5

Art Unit: 2673

a gray-scale by performing a plurality of set-reset operations, each set-reset

operation including the setting step and the resetting step, column 16 lines 35-67,

figure 6A, wherein said gradation is performed according to said method of claim

1.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 filed on 12/27/2004 have been

considered but are most in view of the new non-final ground(s) of rejection. See

the new rejection over Yamazaki et al in view of Yamazaki.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David L. Lewis whose telephone number is (703)

306-3026. The examiner can normally be reached on MT and TIFF from 8 to 5. If

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on (703) 305-4938. Any inquiry of a

general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number: 09/956,994

Art Unit: 2673

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Crystal Park 11, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

January 23, 2005

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600